IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS

-051	0750 RWZ
DEBORAH KELLY,	
Plaintiff, MAGISTRAT	Case No:
v.)
PAUL DONNELLY, MICHAEL BYERS, SGT. KEITH JACKSON, OFFICER JOHN DOE, in)))) he(self) # 63550
their individual and official capacities, and	AMOUNT \$ 300 SUMMONS ISSUED YES
THE TOWN OF ROCKLAND, MASSACHUSETTS,) LOCAL RULE 4.1 VAIVER FORM
Defendants.	MCF ISSUED TOWN BY DPTY, CLK. TOWN DATE CANADA

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to 42 USC Sections 1983, 1988 and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 USC Section 1331 and 1343(1), (3), (4) and the aforementioned statutory and constitutional provisions.
- 2. The plaintiffs invoke the pendent jurisdiction of this Court and its supplemental jurisdiction under 28 USC Section 1367 to consider claims arising under state law.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff-Deborah Kelly is, or was at all times pertinent, a resident of Rockland, Massachusetts.
- 4. Defendants-Donnelly, Byers, Jackson, and John Doe, were all police officers employed by the Rockland Police Department,

- Rockland, Massachusetts, acting under color of state law.

 They are all being sued in both their individual and official capacities.
- 5. Defendant-Town of Rockland is a municipality within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On or about April 21, 2002, Rockland police were called to the home of Mr. Louis Rubbo of 43 Wilson Street in Rockland.
- 7. Police had been to Mr. Rubbo's home on many occasions during the preceding nine months. The reason for the police visits was a property dispute between Mr. Rubbo and Mr. George Stoddard of 51 Wilson Street.
- 8. Plaintiff-Kelly was residing with Mr. Stoddard at the time.
- 9. After Rockland police arrived at the scene, Mr. Rubbo indicated that he was having Ms. Kelly's pickup truck towed from what Rubbo claimed to be "his" driveway.
- 10. Kelly was told by the defendant-officers to move her truck.
- 11. Ms. Kelly drove her truck to the front of Mr. Stoddard's porch.
- 12. Donnelly, who claims that Kelly drove at him in an assaultive fashion, thereupon smashed Kelly's window with his metal baton.
- 13. Kelly, frightened by Donnelly's use of force, ran into Stoddard's home to avoid further assault and danger.
- 14. At least four Rockland police officers, Donnelly, Byers, Jackson, and Somers, followed Kelly into her home.
- 15. Donnelly, Byers, and Jackson threw Kelly to the ground, used

- excessive force in restraining her, and inflicted wanton and negligent injury upon Ms. Kelly.
- 16. The officers used far more force than was necessary to effect the plaintiff's arrest.
- 17. After Kelly was arrested, the officers continued to use far more force than was necessary under all of the attendant circumstances.
- 18. At one point, the officers lifted Kelly up off the ground after her shirt had been pushed or pulled above her breasts.
- 19. When the defendants lifted Kelly up off they ground, they exposed her breasts, to which one officer responded, ``Whooo.''
- 20. Kelly asked that her shift be pulled down, but the officers refused to do so.
- 21. The injuries inflicted by the officers include, but are not limited to, head, face, knee injuries, embarrassment, humiliation, and emotional distress.
- 22. Kelly was arrested and charged with several offenses.

COUNT I: USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE IN VIOLATION OF FOURTH AMENDMENT AGAINST ALL DEFENDANT-OFFICERS

- 23. The plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and states further as follows.
- 24. There was no excuse or justification for the high level of force that the defendant-officers used to restrain and arrest Plaintiff-Kelly. Such a high level of force was not necessary.

- 25. There was no excuse or justification for the high level of force that the defendant-officers continued to use after Plaintiff-Kelly was under arrest. Such a high level of force was not necessary.
- 26. There was no excuse or justification for exposing the plaintiff's breasts and then refusing to move her shirt down on her body.
- 27. The acts of the defendants constituted the use of objectively excessive and unreasonable force under all the circumstances of the plaintiff's arrest.
- 28. At the time of the events described, it was clearly established law that the use of excessive force violated clearly-established constitutional rights.
- 29. As the direct and proximate result of the officer's actions, the plaintiff suffered painful injuries to her head, face, and knees.
- 30. As the direct and proximate result of the officer's actions, the plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, including but not limited to anxiety, sleeplessness, anger, and outrage.

COUNT II: NGELIGENCE UNDER STATE LAW AGAINST ALL DEFENDANT-OFFICERS

- 31. The plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs of this complaint and states further as follows.
- 32. The plaintiffs had a duty to refrain from inflicting unnecessary injuries upon the plaintiff.

- 33. The plaintiffs breached that duty by using an excessive and negligent level of force in their arrest and post-arrest conduct toward Plaintiff-Kelly.
- 34. The plaintiff suffered injuries as the result of that use of excessive and negligent force.
- 35. As the direct and proximate result of the officers' actions, the plaintiff suffered painful injuries to her head, face, and knees.
- 36. As the direct and proximate result of the officers' actions, the plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, including but not limited to anxiety, sleeplessness, anger, and outrage.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENT SUPERIVISION AND SECTION 1983 FAILURE TO INTERVENE BY DEFENDANT-JACKSON

- 37. Sergeant Jackson had an opportunity and an obligation as the senior officer on the scene to stop or to ameliorate the physical attack upon Deborah Kelly by Byers and Donnelly.
- 38. Jackson had a duty as the senior office to insure that junior officers did not use more force against Kelly than was reasonable and necessary.
- 39. Jackson negligently failed to control the junior officers.
- 40. As the result of Jackson's inaction, Kelly's injuries were greater than they might otherwise have been.
- 41. Jackson was deliberately indifferent to the excessive use of force against Kelly by junior officers who he had the authority and the obligation to control.
- 42. At the time of the events described, it was clearly established law that a senior officer had the obligation to

- stop or ameliorate the excessive force by other officers he had the authority to control.
- 43. As the direct and proximate result of Defendant-Jackson's action and inaction, the plaintiff suffered painful injuries.
- 44. As the direct and proximate result of Defendant-Jackson's action and inaction, the plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, including but not limited to anxiety, sleeplessness, anger, and outrage.

COUNT IV: MONELL LIABILITY BY THE TOWN OF ROCKLAND, MASS.

- 45. The plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint and states further as follows.
- 46. The Town's failure to adequately train its officers in the use force is readily apparent on the facts of this case.
- 47. The Town was deliberately indifferent to the constitutional rights of its citizens to be free from the unreasonable use of force when it failed to adequately train its officers.
- 48. Prior to the incident involving the plaintiffs, the Town was aware of previous incidents involving the defendants in which the defendants had used excessive force on other citizens.

 The allegations of this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.
- 49. The Defendant-Town tacitly authorized the defendants' conduct, without which their assaultive conduct against the plaintiff would not have occurred.

- 50. The Town is vested by state law with the authority to make policy for the Police Department on the use of force. The Town was aware of a pattern of using excessive force by police officers and was aware of inadequate training of its police officers. The allegations of this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.
- 51. It was obvious that failing to correct this pattern of excessive force and unlawful entries would lead to violations of citizens' constitutional rights. The failure of the Town to take remedial action resulted in the denial of the plaintiff's civil rights by police officers. The allegations of this paragraph are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.
- 52. Through the actions and inactions of the Town, the Town adopted a policy, practice, or custom of permitting and tolerating constitutional violations by its police officers.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Town, the plaintiff suffered physical and economic damages.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

54. The plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests that the finder of fact award:

- 1) Compensatory, economic damages;
- 2) Damages for emotional distress;

- 3) Punitive damages;
- 4) Pre-judgment interest;
- 5) Attorneys fees and costs; and
- 6) Such other relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel S. Sharp
Attorney for Plain

Attorney for Plaintiff (BBO 565524)

48 Locust Street Marblehead, MA 01945

781-639-1862

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

(name of t		a- Anly)	Deno	ah Kelly	_ -				
	first party on each ald								
		unon the	numbere:	i nature of suit co-	de liste	d on the	elvii co	over sheet.	(See local
which the	case pelongs pased	upon m-							
11).			1						
461	416, 470, R.23, REG	ARDLES	S OF NAT	URE OF SUIT.					
191), ((() () () () ()		540 650 F	SE 825. 710. 720.	730, *	Also com	piele	AO 120	pyr) hi cases
74	0, 790, 791, 820-, 830	.'040 '00	0,000,00					Ka	
44	0 120 130 140 161	190, 210,	230, 240,	245, 290, 310.	1.		V	_	
31 38	5, 320, 339, 340, 346, 80, 385, 450, 891.	300, 300,		\mathbf{O}	~				
	455 450	480 490.	610, 620.	630, 640, 650, 660	١,				
/, 22 61	20, 422, 423, 430, 460, 30, 810, 851-865, 870,	871, 875,	900.	·					
						,			
		/0 a a loc	-L - Luia 40	1(a)). If more than	one pi	rior relate	d case	has been	filed in this
umber, if	any, of related cases.	er of the	first filed	ase in this court.					
ase Indica	NE lus fire sin indie								
e									
		lies and h	ased on i	ne same claim eve	r been	filed in th	is cou	irt?	
raction b	etween the same pan	Hez etter n		Y	ES }		NO	XXX	
			İ		1		_	. 12 - Indoor	+2 /See 28 US
= 1,-4	in this case suestion	the dons	titutional	ty of an act of con-	gress a	effecting t	ne pu	DifC luferea	iti laceta ac
:omplaint	IN THE CASE disease.		1				NO	7.5	
				-	E5 _	*******	NO	ዺኢታ	
	er an officer, agent or	employed	e of the U	8. a party?		,,,,,,		gr.senerg	
				T	,			`\$	-0.40
	ماه محمد السياسات المحمد المحمد	termined	by a distri	gt court of three ju	ıqdes t	ursuant t	o title	28 USC §2	∡04 f
te tednite	() to be used and de				/ES Í	}	NO	x x x	
		1			ì	لـــا		June	
the partie:	s in this action, exclt	iding gov a"), resid	ernmenta ling in Ma	agencies of the u	inited s e in the	tates and same div	the Crision1	ommonwe ? - (See Lo	eith of cal Rule 40.1(d)
neers / D	M. S. Sald Littlich and Salder . M. Co.	* :		,	YES 🚽	xx	NO		
		į		ł		44.0077		0.00.00	
	if use in which divis	ion do all	of the no	-governmental pa	rties re	eside?			
A.		g			ζ·····γ		We	stern Divis	ion
	Eastern Division	XXX		L					4-16
_	أأماناه كماكي والمالية	on do the	majority :	the plaintiffs or	the onl	ly parties,	exclu	ding gover	nmental agenci
B.	residing in Massach	usetts re	side?						
	I RESIDENCE IN INCOME.			:					;t
	# # I	<u> </u>		entral Division			We	stern Divis	ilon
	Eastern Division	ii		-1	***************************************			4 & 4	Could'S /If the
Notice o	r Removal - are there	any motiv	ons pendl	ng in the state cou	urt requ	ilring the	ettenti	on or this i	DOGICE (III yes)
, RUCCE U	sheet identifying the	motions) '	1		******		Statuti	
					YES		NC)	
		1		1		*******			
						/ /	A.S.	_	
					_ /				
YPE OR PI	RINT)		chi-v-	1/Azz	ul!	11/1	7/		
YPE OR PI	Danie	ı s.	Sharı	Van	u/	A M		01.945	
	Danie	cust	Stree	t, Marble	<i>ul</i> ehea	1d, M		01.945	
	19174 19174 111 31 38 1. 22 58 11 umber, if age indicate experience in action becomplaint to U.S.A. on the parties usetts ("of A. B.	19)- 160, 410, 470, R.23, REC 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 315, 320, 330, 340, 346, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 580, 810, 861-865, 870, 150, 162, 163. umber, if any, of related cases, ase indicate the title and number e raction between the same particular in this case question complaint in this case question e U.S.A. or an officer, agent or se required to be heard and de the parties in this action, excitusetts ("governmental agencies A. If yes, in which divising the same particular in this case question in this action, excitusetts ("governmental agencies) A. If yes, in which divising the same particular in this action, excitusetts ("governmental agencies) B. If no, in which division Particular of Removal - are there	19)- 150, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLES 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441, 446, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 85 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 315, 320, 320, 340, 345, 350, 355, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 590, 810, 851-855, 870, 871, 875, 150, 162, 163. umber, if any, of related cases. (See locase Indicate the title and number of the example of th	19)- 150, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NAT 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441, 446, 540, 550, 5 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 89 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 24b, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 394, 625, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 680, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. 150, 162, 163. umber, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 48, ase indicate the title and number of the first field of the first field of the rection between the same parties and based on the complaint in this case question the donstitutional elements of the first field of the parties in this action, excluding governments are required to be heard and determined by a distributed of the parties in this action, excluding governments are required to be heard and determined by a distributed of the parties in this action, excluding governments are required to be heard and determined by a distributed of the parties in this action, excluding governments are required to be heard and determined by a distributed of the parties in this action, excluding governments. A. If yes, in which division do all of the notes action in Massachusetts reside? B. If no, in which division do the majority of residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division	180, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 195, 198, 368, 400, 440, 441, 446, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 740, 780, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892, 894, 895, 850. 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 340, 315, 320, 330, 345, 350, 355, 360, 852, 870, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 680, 680, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. 150, 162, 153. umber, if any, of related casea. (See local rule 48.1(g)). If more than ase indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Proposition between the same parties and based on the same claim every complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of content of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the usetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts residusetts residus in this action agencies of the managenty of the plaintiffs of residing in Massachusetts reside? B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs of residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Cantral Division Cantral Division	150, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441, 446, 540, 550, 655, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 830, 892-894, 895, 850. 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 245, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 393-85-85, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 660, 680, 680, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. 150, 162, 163. umber, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 49, 1(g)). If more than one place indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Prescription between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been yes. The complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress and elements of the U.S. a party? YES The parties in this action, excluding governments agencies of the united a susetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the Eastern Division XXX A. If yes, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division Cantral Division	19). 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 195, 198, 288, 400, 440, 441, 445, 540, 550, 655, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also com 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 855, 850. 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 250, 330, 385, 340, 345, 360, 365, 360, 365, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 440, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 660, 660, 850, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. 150, 162, 163. umber, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 49, 1(g)). If more than one prior relate ase inclicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Per action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in the YES. Complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the U.S. a party? YES. See Position of the first filed court of three judges pursuant to the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and used ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same discrete ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division XXX Central Division Cantral Division	150, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 196, 198, 368, 400, 440, 441444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 791, 820°, 830°, 880°, 850, 850, 855, 825, 710, 720, 730, 791, 820°, 830°, 880°, 850, 880, 822,894, 835, 850. 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 24b, 245, 230, 340, 315, 320, 330, 340, 340, 365, 360, 365, 369, 360, 850, 850, 850, 850, 850, 850, 850, 85	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441, 446, 640, 550, 656, 626, 710, 720, 730, 741, 300 complete AO 120, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 840°, 840°, 850, 850, 850, 850, for patent grades and 110, 120, 130, 140, 161, 190, 210, 230, 249, 245, 290, 330, 330, 383, 340, 346, 360, 365, 349, 826, 370, 371, 380, 386, 480, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 680, 680, 680, 680, 680, 680, 68

SIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use

of the Clerk of Court for	the purpose of initiating	the civil docket shee	t. (SEE IN	Judicial Conference of ISTRUCTIONS ON THI	the United States in Septe E REVERSE OF THE FOR	ember 1974, is required for the u		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Deborah Kell	У	DEFENDANTS B					
				DEFENDANTS Paul Donnelly, Michael				
				Byers, Sgt. Keith Jackson, Officer John Doe, and the Town of Rockland,				
				Massachus	and the Tow	n of Rockland,		
(h)		D.		nassachus	ects.	e de la companya de		
(D) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Plymouth				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	Plymouth		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
				NOTE: IN LAND CO	ONDEMNATION CASES, U LAND INVOLVED.	ISE THE LOCATION OF THE		
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM	E. ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE	NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)				
Daniel S. Sharp 781-639-1862								
48 Locust	Street					ري (ي)		
Marblehead	, MA 01945							
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PE	RINCIPAL PARTIES	PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIF		
			l (Fo	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	XDX3 Federal Questio	n .	Cit	·- · · · · - ·	PTF DEF XD 1	PTF DEF d or Principal Place ☐ 4 ☐ 4		
☐ 2 U.S. Government		nent Not a Party)				ss In This State		
Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize in Item III)	enship of Parties	Cit	izen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated of Busines	d and Principal Place 5 5 5 5 In Another State		
			Cit F	izen or Subject of a foreign Country	□з □з Foreign Natio			
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN	"X" IN O	NE BOX ONLY)		Appeal to District		
Proceeding Sta	re Court App		Reinstate Reopene	0 or ⊟ sanother		_ ,		
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN O	NE BOX ONLY)		(Opening) Litigation	1 Judgment		
CONTRACT	T(ORTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER OTHER		
☐ 110 insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJU	RY [3 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES		
 ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument 	☐ 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal injury Med. Malpracti		3 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Selzure	•	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws	1 4.23 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers	☐ 368 Asbestos Persor Injury Product LI	nal [3 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer influenced and		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	. 5] 650 Airline Regs.] 660 Occupational	☐ 820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations B 810 Selective Service		
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health] 690 Other	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle	□ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal	-	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damag 385 Property Damag		710 Fair Labor Standards		12 USC 3410 B91 Agricultural Acts		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability		Act	[] 861 HIA (1395ff) [] 862 Black Lung (923)	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITI	ONS	720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	■ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	١ ١	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act	[] 865 ASI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	0	740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
a 200 Air Other near Ploperty	☐ 550 Civil Rights		🗀	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATE	☐ 555 Prison Condition FUTE UNDER WHICH YOU	ADE EU NIO	Security Act AND WRITE BRIEF STATEME	26 USC 7609			
Fourth and 1	4th Amendmen	te 12 110	C C) 				
Fourth and 1 Plaintiff wa	s beaten by	police dur	ing a	ction 1983. Arrest. Exc	Pendent sta cessive force	ate claims.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACTIO		EMAND \$		nly if demanded in complaint.		
VIII.RELATED CASE(S	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	3			JURY DEMAN			
IF ANY NONE	JU	DGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
UNIE		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD				
<u> </u>					•			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
						- 		
HECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD			